

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/749,555	RUCKMANN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Scott Haugland	3654	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Douglas Hanscom. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Scott Haugland. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 16 March 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: I (proposed - see attached)

Identification of prior art discussed: Breton

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Proposed amdt to claim I would raise new issues that would require further search or consideration.*

*Kathy Matecki*  
KATHY MATECKI  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*Scott Haugland*  
Examiner's signature, if required

*attachment to interview summary 3/16/06*

1. (Currently Amended) A device for drawing in paper webs in a web-fed printing press comprising:

a roller, said roller being adapted to guide paper webs in the web-fed printing press;  
a finite length paper web traction means for drawing in *leading ends of continuous*  
printing press and having a plurality of spaced paper web engaging means, said plurality of  
spaced paper web engaging means facing said roller and being adapted to pass through said  
webs  
web during said drawing-in of said web.

a passage in said roller for receipt of said plurality of spaced paper web engaging  
means of said finite length paper web traction means during said paper web draw-in, said  
plurality of spaced paper web engaging means being received in said passage in said roller  
during said paper web draw-in;

a finite length guide for said finite length traction means, said finite length guide having a  
guide portion located adjacent said roller during said paper web draw-in, said finite length guide  
providing an endless running path for said finite length traction means;

a guide support for said finite length guide; and  
means supporting said guide support for movement of said guide support and said finite  
length guide with respect to said roller to position for moving said portion of said finite length  
guide and said finite length paper web traction means with said plurality of spaced paper web  
engaging means adjacent said roller during said paper web draw-in and to move said portion of  
said finite width guide and said finite length paper web traction means, with said plurality of  
spaced paper web engaging means away from said roller at completion of said paper web, *(leading end*  
draw-in.